EXHIBIT X

UNITED STATES DISTR	RICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF	CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO D	IVISION
NEXTDOOR.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation,	
Plaintiff,	
VS.	No. 3:12-cv-05667-EMC
RAJ ABHYANKER, an individual,	
Defendant.	
	_ /
AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIM	_ /
VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF	F DANIEL HANSEN
MAY 27, 201	14
10:09 A.M	
555 California Street,	, 12th Floor
San Francisco, Ca	lifornia
REPORTED BY:	
Mark W. Banta	
CSR No. 6034, CRR	

Case3:12-cv-05667-EMC Documentangle Plans May 27, 2014 Page3 of 13

```
1
                        APPEARANCES
2
     For the Plaintiff:
           FENWICK & WEST, LLP
3
           555 California Street, 12th Floor
           San Francisco, California
4
           415.875.2300
           BY JENNIFER LLOYD KELLY
5
           BY LAURENCE PULGRAM (as indicated)
           jkelly@fenwick.com
6
           lpulgram@fenwick.com
8
     For Defendant and Counterclaimant Raj Abhyanker:
9
           LEGALFORCE RAJ ABHYANKER, P.C.
           BY HEATHER NORTON
10
           1580 West El Camino Real, Suite 13
           Mountain View, California 94040
11
           650.965.8731
           heather@legalforcelaw.com
12
13
14
     For Counterdefendants Benchmark Capital Partners, L.P.
      and Benchmark Capital Management Co. LLC:
15
           LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
           BY JULIAN W. PARK
16
           140 Scott Drive
           Menlo Park, California 94025
17
           650.328.4600
           julian.park@lw.com
18
19
                - and -
20
           LATHAM & WATKINS
21
           BY MELANIE M. BLUNSCHI
           505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000
22
           San Francisco, California 94111-6538
           415.391.0600
23
           melanie.blunschi@lw.com
24
25
                                                                 2
```

Case3:12-cv-05667-EMC Document332-125anFiled09/12/14 Page4 of 13 May 27, 2014

```
1
      APPEARANCES (Continued)
 2
3
      For Third-party Witness DANIEL HANSEN:
           ROGERS JOSEPH O'DONNELL
 4
           BY MERRI A. BALDWIN
           311 California Street, 10th Floor
 5
           San Francisco, California 94104
           415.956.2828
6
           mbaldwin@rjo.com
 7
8
           ALSO PRESENT: STEVE SPENCER, LVS, Videographer
9
                           MARCUS MANCUSO, SpiralMoon Media
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                                   3
```

Case3:12-cv-05667-EMC Document332-125anFiled09/12/14 Page5 of 13 May 27, 2014

1 legal advice. 2 Okay. So your understanding is that if there 0. 3 was a client here -- if -- it was Mr. Abhyanker? 4 Again, the -- the term "client" -- it depends Α. 5 on the context on what you mean by the client. 6 I'm not asking you to agree or disagree that 7 there was an attorney-client relationship. 8 Α. Um-hmm. 9 I'm asking you to identify the person who might 10 have thought that there was an attorney-client 11 relationship. The person that was seeking your legal 12 advice. 13 So in connection with these particular e-mails? 14 Yes. These series of communications in 15 November 2012. 16 Yes, it would have been Raj Abhyanker. Α. 17 Ο. Okay. 18 (Exhibit 15 marked.) 19 BY MS. KELLY: 20 Q. Could you take a look at paragraph 46 of the 21 declaration. 22 Α. 46. All right. 23 Have you seen this document before? 24 Α. This looks to be the declaration that you guys 25 at Fenwick forwarded us.

Case3:12-cv-05667-EMC Document332-125anFiled09/12/14 Page6 of 13 May 27, 2014

1 Okay. Did you read paragraph 46? Q. 2 Α. Yes, previously I read it. 3 Did you in fact have a follow-up telephone Q. 4 conversation with Mr. Abhyanker? 5 Not that I recall. Α. 6 Okay. Did you inform him that Mr. Drazan had 7 the ability to appoint you as interim CEO by himself? 8 Α. Not that I recall. 9 Q. So these statements are not true? 10 MS. BALDWIN: Objection. The witness --11 mischaracterize the witness' -- well, the witness' 12 testimony is what it is. 13 THE WITNESS: I think I've already answered I 14 don't recall. 15 BY MS. KELLY: 16 Would you have told him that? Q. 17 MS. BALDWIN: Objection. Calls for 18 speculation. 19 THE WITNESS: You're asking me to speculate 20 would I have told him that? 21 BY MS. KELLY: 22 Well, based on what you know about how the 23 company operated and what were in its bylaws, and I can 24 put those in front of you, is it your belief that 25 Mr. Drazan could have had the ability to appoint him as 134

Case3:12-cv-05667-EMC Documentangel Transen d09/12/14 Page7 of 13 May 27, 2014

1 interim CEO? 2 MS. BALDWIN: I'm going to object to the extent 3 you're asking him for his legal conclusion. 4 THE WITNESS: I don't -- I didn't know the 5 structure of the company at the time, so I don't know how 6 to answer that. MS. KELLY: So Merri, I think it's pretty clear 8 from what is disclosed in this paragraph that any 9 privilege that did exist in these communications has 10 clearly been waived by Mr. Abhyanker, and he alone had 11 the power to waive it, since he was the purported client. 12 So it's our position that all of these documents that are 13 listed on the log should be produced. 14 MR. NORTON: I would object that the details of 15 the communications are privileged. With respect to the 16 details of the communications have been waived, this 17 paragraph here just talks about an outcome or conclusion, 18 it doesn't discuss -- that doesn't reveal any details of 19 the actual communications, the back and forth that took 20 place when he was seeking legal advice from Mr. Hansen. 21 MS. KELLY: Well, I respectfully disagree. 22 think that this is a broad waiver and that it covers all 23 the communications on the subject and that they ought to 24 be produced immediately. 25 MS. BALDWIN: I don't think that we're in a

135

Case3:12-cv-05667-EMC Documentangel Plansen do 9/12/14 Page 8 of 13 May 27, 2014

1 position to make a ruling on a waiver issue. I don't --2 we -- Mr. Hansen's a third party, he's not involved in 3 this case. I don't have -- all I have is what you've 4 just given to us here and it's the same thing that you 5 had sent to us earlier. This to me does not have enough 6 facts for me to conclude that a waiver exists. 7 MS. KELLY: Well, we've established that the 8 alleged client, if anybody, was Mr. Abhyanker. And we've 9 established not just today -- I gave you this quite some 10 time ago, as you know, and as your client has 11 testified -- that he stated in a declaration filed 12 publicly with the court about the contents of his 13 conversation with Mr. Hansen right there. So I can't 14 force you to do it, but your client is sitting here and I 15 would like the opportunity to question him about these 16 communications as I've indicated to you in the past. 17 MS. BALDWIN: I understand. But I mean let me 18 just clarify with Mr. Abhyanker's counsel who is sitting 19 here who is the holder, represented the holder of the 20 privilege and is really the one to make this 21 determination, not me. 22 Are you instructing Mr. Hansen not to answer 23 communications about the e-mails that are the subject --24 that are listed on the privilege log and are the subject 25 of this line of questioning?

Case3:12-cv-05667-EMC Document332:125anSelfed09/12/14 Page9 of 13 May 27, 2014

1 MR. NORTON: Yes, it's Mr. Abhyanker position 2 that the details of the communications are privileged. 3 MS. BALDWIN: I don't see that we have a 4 choice. We can't make the decision to waive. You know, 5 you could have included this in your motion to compel 6 that you filed on Friday. MS. KELLY: This is in our motion to compel. 8 MS. BALDWIN: Well, then, we go to the second 9 point which is you could have then postponed Mr. Hansen's 10 deposition until resolution of these issues in your 11 motion to compel, but you chose to proceed. I know you 12 want to question him about it, but you made the choice to 13 proceed today instead of wait a day or two until we got a 14 ruling from Judge Chen. So we're not in a position 15 pursuant to California Rules of Evidence and 16 attorney-client privilege and the duty of confidentiality 17 to make an independent decision on waiver in the face of 18 an objection by Mr. Abhyanker's counsel that these are 19 privileged. 20 MS. KELLY: Heather, is it your position that, 21 notwithstanding what Mr. Abhyanker stated in his 22 declaration, that the substance of his conversation with 23 Mr. Hansen on this subject remains privileged? 24 MR. NORTON: It's his position that the details 25 of his communications are privileged, yes.

Case3:12-cv-05667-EMC Document3332-Flanslerd09/12/14 Page10 of 13 May 27, 2014

```
1
                MS. KELLY: On this particular subject with
 2
      Mr. Hansen?
 3
                MR. NORTON: Yes.
 4
                MS. KELLY: Okay. Obviously, I don't agree,
 5
      and we may be back here.
6
                THE WITNESS: Could we take five?
 7
                MS. KELLY: Yes.
8
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the record.
9
      The time is 2:35 p.m.
10
                (Recess taken from 2:35 to 2:45 p.m.)
11
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going back on the
12
               The time is 2:46 p.m. Please proceed.
13
      BY MS. KELLY:
14
                Okay. I want to go back to I had asked you a
15
      couple of questions about paragraph 46 in Mr. Abhyanker's
16
      declaration, and I misspoke in one of my questions, so I
17
      want to ask it properly.
18
                With respect to the sentence that says "He
19
      informed me that Mr. Drazan had the ability to appoint me
20
      interim CEO by himself and no further action was
21
      required, " did you in fact tell Mr. Abhyanker that?
22
                MS. BALDWIN: I'm going to object on the
23
      grounds of privilege.
24
      BY MS. KELLY:
25
                Are you going to follow your counsel's
                                                                138
```

1	instruction?
2	MS. BALDWIN: I haven't actually I have only
3	objected. I haven't made an instruction. And I'll leave
4	it to Mr. Abhyanker's counsel to instruct, since he's the
5	holder of the privilege.
6	MR. NORTON: You can answer whether your
7	recollection comports with the statement in paragraph 46.
8	THE WITNESS: Not that I recall.
9	BY MS. KELLY:
10	Q. Okay. In fact, you would not have offered an
11	opinion on that subject because you weren't familiar with
12	the current bylaws of the company. Is that right?
13	MS. BALDWIN: Objection. Calls for
14	speculation.
15	THE WITNESS: So you're asking me to speculate
16	had I given that information because I'm not familiar
17	with the bylaws of Center'd. Not because of the bylaws.
18	BY MS. KELLY:
19	Q. I'm sorry. I don't understand, not because of
20	the bylaws. Earlier you had said you didn't know what
21	the current bylaws of the company were; is that correct?
22	A. I don't recall saying that.
23	Q. Okay. Do you know what it would take for
24	somebody to be appointed CEO of Center'd effectively as
25	of 2012?

Case3:12-cv-05667-EMC Document332-Flansier 12-d09/12/14 Page12 of 13 May 27, 2014

1	MS. BALDWIN: You're asking him as he sits here
2	today what his legal conclusion is?
3	THE WITNESS: Are you asking me right now?
4	BY MS. KELLY:
5	Q. No. I'm asking you in November 2012 would you
6	have known what it would take to appoint somebody CEO of
7	Center'd?
8	A. I wasn't familiar with the corporate structure
9	of the company at the time.
10	Q. Okay. So you probably wouldn't have answered a
11	question on that issue, would you?
12	MS. BALDWIN: Objection. Argumentative. Calls
13	for speculation.
14	THE WITNESS: Probably would not have yeah,
15	I probably would not have.
16	MS. KELLY: Okay. I'd like to have this marked
17	as the next exhibit. What are we on?
18	THE REPORTER: 16.
19	(Exhibit 16 marked.)
20	MS. KELLY: This is the document that was
21	provided to us upon request relating to the index of
22	materials that was transmitted to Goodwin Procter. Would
23	you agree with that, Merri?
24	MS. BALDWIN: It appears to be.
25	MS. PARK: Are they the only copy?
	140

Case3:12-cv-05667-EMC Document332-Flansier 12/14 Page13 of 13 May 27, 2014

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
3	
4	I, MARK W. BANTA, a Certified Shorthand
5	Reporter, do hereby certify:
6	That prior to being examined, the witness in
7	the foregoing proceedings was by me duly affirmed to
8	testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
9	the truth;
10	That said proceedings were taken before me at
11	the time and place therein set forth and were taken down
12	by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into
13	typewriting under my direction and supervision;
14	I further certify that I am neither counsel
15	for, nor related to, any party to said proceedings, nor
16	in any way interested in the outcome thereof.
17	In witness whereof, I have hereunto subscribed
18	my name.
19	Dated: June 5, 2014
20	e. RealLegal.
21	The state of the s
22	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$
23	Mahw Danta
24	MARK W. BANTA
25	CSR 6034, CRR